

IFFO RSGlobal Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients



IFFO RS Limited

T: +44 (0) 2030 539 195 E: Standards@iffors.com W: www.iffors.com

Unit C, Printworks | 22 Amelia Street London, SE17 3BZ | United Kingdom





Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients

Fishery Assessment Methodology and Template Report V2.0

Version No.: 2.0

Date: July 2017





Fishery Under Assessment	Albacore Tuna Thunnus alalunga; Atlantic
Date	January 2018
Assessor	Conor Donnelly

Application details and summary of the assessment outcome						
Name: Pelagia Killy	Name: Pelagia Killybegs, Pelagia (UK) Ltd					
Address:						
Country: UK and Ireland Zip:						
Tel. No.		Fax. No.	Fax. No.			
Email address:		Applicant Cod	Applicant Code			
Key Contact:		Title:				
Certification Body D	Details					
Name of Certificatio	n Body:	SAI Global				
Assessor Name	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillar Re-approval	nce/ Whole fish / By- product		
Conor Donnelly	Sam Dignan	1	Re-approva	al By-product		
Assessment Period		1	2017	·		

Scope Details	
Management Authority (Country/State)	ICCAT
Main Species	Albacore Tuna Thunnus alalunga
Fishery Location	Atlantic
Gear Type(s)	Longlines, trolling and baitboats, trawlers
Outcome of Assessment	
Overall Outcome	Pass
Clauses Failed	None
Peer Review Evaluation	Pass
Recommendation	Approval

Assessment Determination

There is a fishery management framework in place through the RFMO, ICCAT. Management is supported by species-specific data collection and stock assessment, although significant improvements could be made to increase the level of certainty in management decisions.

European Albacore Tuna are classed as of 'least concern' by IUCN (http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/21856/1 accessed 2 February 2018) and are not listed by CITES (https://www.speciesplus.net/#/ accessed 2 February 2018).

The assessment team recommends the approval of this by-product material against the IFFO RS standard.

Peer Review Comments

Agree assessor recommendation

Notes for On-site Auditor

Note: This table should be completed for whole fish assessments only.

General Results

General Clause	Outcome (Pass/Fail)
M1 - Management Framework	
M2 - Surveillance, Control and Enforcement	
F1 - Impacts on ETP Species	
F2 - Impacts on Habitats	
F3 - Ecosystem Impacts	

Species-Specific Results

Category	Species	% landings	Outcome (Pass/Fail)
			A1
Cotogomy			A2
Category A			A3
			A4
Category B			
Category C	Albacore Tuna Thunnus alalunga	n/a	Pass
Category D			

[List all Category A and B species. List approximate total %age of landings which are Category C and D species; these do not need to be individually named here]

HOW TO COMPLETE THIS ASSESSMENT REPORT

This assessment template uses a modular approach to assessing fisheries against the IFFO RS standard.

Whole Fish

The process for completing the template for a **whole fish** assessment is as follows:

- 1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table, to determine which categories of species are present in the fishery.
- 2. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete clauses M1, M2, M3: Management.
- 3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY A SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete clauses A1, A2, A3, A4 for **each** Category A species.
- 4. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY B SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete the Section B risk assessment for **each** Category B species.
- 5. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C species.
- 6. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete Section D.
- 7. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete clauses F1, F2, F3: Further Impacts.

A fishery must score a pass in **all applicable clauses** before approval may be recommended. To achieve a pass in a clause, the fishery/species must meet **all** of the minimum requirements.

By-products

The process for completing the template for **by-product raw material** is as follows:

- 1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table with the names of the byproduct species and stocks under assessment. The '% landings' column can be left empty; all byproducts are considered as Category C and D.
- 2. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C by-product.
- 3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete Section D.
- 4. ALL OTHER SECTIONS CAN BE DELETED. Clauses M1 M3, F1 F3, and Sections A and B do not need to be completed for a by-product assessment.

By-product approval is awarded on a species-by-species basis. Each by-product species scoring a pass under the appropriate section may be approved against the IFFO RS Standard.

SPECIES CATEGORISATION

The following table should be completed as fully as the available information permits. Any species representing more than 0.1% of the annual catch should be listed, along with an estimate of the proportion of the catch each species represents. The species should then be divided into Type 1 and Type 2 as follows:

- **Type 1 Species** can be considered the 'target' or 'main' species in the fishery. They make up the bulk of annual landings and are subjected to a detailed assessment.
- **Type 2 Species** can be considered the 'bycatch' or 'minor' species in the fishery. They make up a small proportion of the annual landings and are subjected to relatively high-level assessment.

Type 1 Species must represent 95% of the total annual catch. Type 2 Species may represent a maximum of 5% of the annual catch (see Appendix B).

Species which make up less than 0.1% of landings do not need to be listed (NOTE: ETP species are considered separately). The table should be extended if more space is needed. Discarded species should be included when known.

The 'stock' column should be used to differentiate when there are multiple biological or management stocks of one species captured by the fishery. The 'management' column should be used to indicate whether there is an adequate management regime specifically aimed at the individual species/stock. In some cases it will be immediately clear whether there is a species-specific management regime in place (for example, if there is an annual TAC). In less clear circumstances, the rule of thumb should be that if the species meets the minimum requirements of clauses A1-A4, an adequate species-specific management regime is in place.

NOTE: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it **cannot** be approved for use as an IFFO RS raw material. This applied to whole fish as well as by-products.

TYPE 1 SPECIES (Representing 95% of the catch or more)

Category A: Species-specific management regime in place.

Category B: No species-specific management regime in place.

TYPE 2 SPECIES (Representing 5% OF THE CATCH OR LESS)

Category C: Species-specific management regime in place.

Category D: No species-specific management regime in place.

Common name	Latin name	Stock	% of	Management	Category
			landings		
Albacore Tuna	Thunnus alalunga	North	N/A	ICCAT &	С
		Atlantic,		Contracting parties	
		South			
		Atlantic			

CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a whole fish assessment, Category C species are those which make up less than 5% of landings, but which are subject to a species-specific management regime. In most cases this will be because they are a commercial target in a fishery other than the one under assessment. In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime, and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for **each** Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. A Category C species does not meet the minimum requirements of clause C1 should be re-assessed as a Category D species.

Spec	ies N	lame					
C1	Categ	gory C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements					
	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the					
		stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above					
		the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are					
		considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
		Clause outcome:	Pass				

Evidence

As albacore tuna is a widely-distributed and highly migratory species found in both national and international waters, its management is coordinated by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT). The ICCAT currently has 50 contracting members, including the EU. ICCAT coordinates fishery science and management efforts for a number of Atlantic tunas including albacore.

Albacore in the Atlantic are considered by ICCAT to be two separate stocks, a southern and a northern stock. Additionally, there is a third stock, in the Mediterranean, which is included in the ICCAT stock assessment and management process.

ICCAT is supported by a range of scientific activities carried out by contracting states, culminating in biennial stock assessment meetings and stock assessment reports. The most recent meeting for albacore tuna was an inter-sessional meeting held in June 2017 (ICCAT 2017c) which focussed mainly on the Mediterranean stock. The previous meeting was in April/May 2016 (ICCAT 2016b).

Reference points have been calculated for the northern and southern Atlantic stocks, including SSBMSY, BMSY, FMSY and Flim. Assessments are based primarily on catch and CPUE data. The ICCAT report (2016b) states that there is substantial uncertainty on current stock status in both fisheries. During the 2016 stock assessment meeting some new information on biology was made available to the group. However, the biological parameters for both stocks remain the same as in previous assessment. ICCAT concluded that the available information indicates that both the north and south Atlantic stocks have improved and are in the green area of the Kobe plot (ICCAT, 2016b).

The Mediterranean stock is even less well-understood, with the first stock assessment only being conducted in 2011 and the limited available data meaning it is categorised as a 'data poor' fishery.

Both the north and south Atlantic stocks are subject to an annual quota, which is currently set at 33,600t for the northern stock (2018-2020) (ICCAT, 2017a) and 24,000t for the southern stock (2017-2020) (ICCAT 2016a). A multiannual management and conservation programme for the northern stock was established in 2016 (ICCAT, 2016c) and subsequently amended in 2017 (ICCAT 2017a) which includes revised catch limits and biological reference points and harvest control rules:

For the purpose of the multiannual management and conservation programme for the North Atlantic albacore, the following interim reference points 1 are established:

- (a) $B_{THRESH} = B_{MSY}$
- (b) B_{LIM} = 0.4*B_{MSY}
- (c) $F_{TAR} = 0.8 * F_{MSY}$
- (d) $F_{MIN} = 0.1*F_{MSY}$

There is significant uncertainty over recent trends in abundance of the Mediterranean stock so that ICCAT have recommended precautionary management measures are implemented to prevent an increase in fishing effort and catch level until more certain advice can be delivered (ICCAT, 2017b).

References

ICCAT 2017a. https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Recs/compendiopdf-e/2017-04-e.pdf

ICCAT 2017b. https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Recs/compendiopdf-e/2017-05-e.pdf

ICCAT 2017c. https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Meetings/Docs/2017_ALB_REP_ENG.pdf

ICCAT 2016a. https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Recs/compendiopdf-e/2016-07-e.pdf

ICCAT 2016b. https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Meetings/Docs/2016_ALB_REPORT_ENG.pdf

ICCAT 2016c. https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Recs/compendiopdf-e/2016-06-e.pdf

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2

CATEGORY D SPECIES

In a whole fish assessment, Category D species are those which make up less than 5% of landings and are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. In a by-product assessment, Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In both cases, the comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

The process for assessing Category D species involves the use of a Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) to further subdivide the species into 'Critical Risk', 'Major Risk' and 'Minor Risk' groups. If there are no Category D species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted.

Productivity and susceptibility ratings are calculated using a process derived from the APFIC document "Regional Guidelines for the Management of Tropical Trawl Fisheries, which in turn was derived from papers by Patrick *et al* (2009) and Hobday *et al* (2007). Table D1 should be completed for each Category D species as follows:

- Firstly, the best available information should be used to fill in values for each productivity and susceptibility attribute.
- Table D2 should be used to convert each attribute value into a score between 1 and 3.
- The average score for productivity attributes and the average for susceptibility attributes should be calculated.
- Table D3 should be used to determine whether the species is required to meet the requirements of Table D4. A species which does not need to meet the requirements of D4 is automatically awarded a pass.
- Table D4 should be used to assess those species indicated by Table D3 to determine a pass/fail rating.
- Any Category D species which has been categorised by the IUCN Red List as Endangered or Critically Endangered, or which appears in the CITES appendices, automatically results in a fail.

D 1	Species Name							
	Productivity Attribu	ıte Value	Score					
	Average age at maturity (years)							
	Average maximum age (years)							
	Fecundity (eggs/spawning)							
	Average maximum size (cm)							
	Average size at maturity (cm)							
	Reproductive strategy							
	Mean trophic level							
	-	Average Productivity Score						
	Susceptibility Attrib	ute Value	Score					
	Overlap of adult species range with fi	shery						
	Distribution							
	Habitat							
	Depth range							
	Selectivity							
	Post-capture mortality							
		Average Susceptibility Score						
	PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)							
		Compliance rating						
Refer	ences							
Stande	ard clauses 1.3.2.2							

Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	Low productivity/ High risk	Medium productivity/ Medium risk	High productivity Low risk	
	Score 3	Score 2	Score 1	
Average age at maturity (years)	>4	2 to 4	<2	
Average maximum age (years)	>30	10 to 30	<10	
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	<1 000	1 000 to 10 000	>10 000	
Average maximum size (cm)	>150	60 to 150	<60	
Average size at maturity (cm)	>150	30 to 150	<30	
Reproductive strategy	Live bearer, mouth brooder or significant parental investment	Demersal spawner "berried"	Broadcast spawner	
Mean trophic level	>3.25	2.5-3.25	<2.5	

Susceptibility attributes		High susceptibility/ High risk	Medium susceptibility/ Medium risk	Low susceptibility/ Low risk		
			Score 3	Score 2	Score 1	
Availability	Overlap of adult species range with fishery		>50% of stock occurs in the area fished	Between 25% and 50% of the stock occurs in the area fished	<25% of stock occurs in the area fished	
**	2)	Distribution	Only in the country/ fishery	Limited range in the region	Throughout region/ global distribution	
Encounterability	1)	Habitat	Habitat preference of species make it highly likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. demersal, muddy/sandy bottom)	Habitat preference of species make it moderately likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. rocky bottom/reefs)	Depth or distribution of species make it unlikely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. epi-pelagic or meso-pelagic)	
	2)	Depth range	High overlap with trawl fishing gear (20 to 60 m depth)	Medium overlap with trawl fishing gear (10 to 20 m depth)	Low overlap with trawl fishing gear (0 to 10 m, >70 m depth)	
Selectivity			Species >2 times mesh size or up to 4 m length	Species 1 to 2 times mesh size or 4 to 5 m length	Species <mesh or<br="" size="">>5 m length</mesh>	
Post capture mortality			Most dead or retained Trawl tow >3 hours	Alive after net hauled Trawl tow 0.5 to 3 hours	Released alive Trawl tow <0.5 hours	

Note: Availability 2 is only used when there is no information for Availability 1; the most conservative score between Encounterability 1 and 2 is used.

D3		Average Susceptibility Score		
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3
Average Productivity	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4

D4	Species Name							
	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements							
	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the							
		management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.						
	D4.2	There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.						
	I.	Outcome:						
Evide								
Refer	ences							
Stande	ard clau	use 1.3.2.2						

SOCIAL CRITERION

In addition to the scored criteria listed above, applicants must commit to ensuring that vessels operating in the fishery adhere to internationally recognised guidance on human rights. They must also commit to ensuring there is no use of enforced or unpaid labour in the fleet(s) operating upon the resource.

Appendix A - Determining Resilience Ratings

The assessment of Category B species described in this assessment report template utilises a resilience rating system suggested by the American Fisheries Society. This approach was chosen because it is also used by FishBase, and so the resilience ratings for many thousands of species are freely available online. As described by FishBase, the following is the process used to arrive at the resilience ratings:

"The American Fisheries Society (AFS) has suggested values for several biological parameters that allow classification of a fish population or species into categories of high, medium, low and very low resilience or productivity (Musick 1999). If no reliable estimate of r_m (see below) is available, the assignment is to the lowest category for which any of the available parameters fits. For each of these categories, AFS has suggested thresholds for decline over the longer of 10 years or three generations. If an observed decline measured in biomass or numbers of mature individuals exceeds the indicated threshold value, the population or species is considered vulnerable to extinction unless explicitly shown otherwise. If one sex strongly limits the reproductive capacity of the species or population, then only the decline in the limiting sex should be considered. We decided to restrict the automatic assignment of resilience categories in the Key Facts page to values of K, t_m and t_{max} and those records of fecundity estimates that referred to minimum number of eggs or pups per female per year, assuming that these were equivalent to average fecundity at first maturity (Musick 1999). Note that many small fishes may spawn several times per year (we exclude these for the time being) and large live bearers such as the coelacanth may have gestation periods of more than one year (we corrected fecundity estimates for those cases reported in the literature). Also, we excluded resilience estimates based on r_m (see below) as we are not yet confident with the reliability of the current method for estimating rm. If users have independent r_m or fecundity estimates, they can refer to Table 1 for using this information."

Parameter	High	Medium	Low	Very low
Threshold	0.99	0.95	0.85	0.70
r _{max} (1/year)	> 0.5	0.16 - 0.50	0.05 - 0.15	< 0.05
K (1/year)	> 0.3	0.16 - 0.30	0.05 - 0.15	< 0.05
Fecundity (1/year)	> 10,000	100 - 1000	10 - 100	< 10
t _m (years)	< 1	2 - 4	5 - 10	> 10
t _{max} (years)	1 - 3	4 - 10	11 - 30	> 30

[Taken from the FishBase manual, "Estimation of Life-History Key Facts", http://www.fishbase.us/manual/English/key%20facts.htm#resilience]

Appendix B – Background on the 5% catch rule

The proposed fishery assessment methodology uses a species categorisation approach to divide the catch in the assessment fishery into groups. These groups are:

- Category A: "Target" species with a species-specific management regime in place.
- Category B: "Target" species with no species-specific management regime in place.
- Category C: "Non-target" species with a species-specific management regime in place.
- Category D: "Non-target" species with no species-specific management regime in place

The distinction between 'target' and 'non-target' species is made to enable the assessment to consider the impact of the fishery on all the species caught regularly, without requiring a full assessment be conducted for each. Thus 'target' species are subjected to a more detailed assessment, while 'non-target' species are considered more briefly. For the purposes of the IFFO RS fishery assessment, 'target' and 'non-target' species are defined by their prevalence in the catch, by weight. Applicants must declare which species are considered 'target' species in the fishery, and the combined weight of these must be at least 95% of the annual catch. The remaining 5% can be made up of 'non-target' species. Note also that ETP species are considered separately, irrespective of their frequency of occurrence in the catch.

The proposed use of 5% as a limit for 'non-target' species is one area in which feedback is being sought via the public consultation. The decision to propose a value of 5% ensures consistency with other fishery assessment programmes, such as the MSC which uses 5% to distinguish between 'main' and 'minor' species (see MSC Standard, SA3.4 and GSA3.4.2); and Seafood Watch, which uses 5% when defining the 'main' species for the assessment (see Seafood Watch Standard, Criterion 2). The value is also consistent with the approached used in Version 1 of the IFFO RS Standard, in which up to 5% of the raw material could be comprised of 'unassessed' species.

Comments on this proposition are welcomed along with any other feedback on the proposed approach.